

We can't let the court turn back the clock on disability rights in the same year that we are celebrating the anniversary of these important protections.

The ADA allowed us to tear down the wall of exclusion and pour a strong foundation for the house of equality. But that house—in which Americans are judged by their ability and not their disability—is still being built.

The promise remains unfulfilled, but still is within reach.

I urge my colleagues to support the reauthorization of the Developmental Disabilities Act.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the Senate concurrent resolution, as follows:

S. CON. RES. 133

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring). That the Secretary of the Senate, in the enrollment of the bill (S. 1809) to improve service systems for individuals with developmental disabilities, and for other purposes, shall make the following corrections:

(1) Strike "1999" each place it appears (other than in section 101(a)(2)) and insert "2000".

(2) In section 101(a)(2), strike "are" and insert "were".

(3) In section 104(a)—

(A) in paragraphs (1), (3)(C), and (4), strike "2000" each place it appears and insert "2001"; and

(B) in paragraph (4), strike "fiscal year 2001" and insert "fiscal year 2002".

(4) In section 124(c)(4)(B)(i), strike "2001" and insert "2002".

(5) In section 125(c)—

(A) in paragraph (5)(H), strike "assess" and insert "access"; and

(B) in paragraph (7), strike "2001" and insert "2002".

(6) In section 129(a)—

(A) strike "fiscal year 2000" and insert "fiscal year 2001"; and

(B) strike "fiscal years 2001 through 2006" and insert "fiscal years 2002 through 2007".

(7) In section 144(e), strike "2001" and insert "2002".

(8) In section 145—

(A) strike "fiscal year 2000" and insert "fiscal year 2001"; and

(B) strike "fiscal years 2001 through 2006" and insert "fiscal years 2002 through 2007".

(9) In section 156—

(A) in subsection (a)(1)—

(i) strike "fiscal year 2000" and insert "fiscal year 2001"; and

(ii) strike "fiscal years 2001 through 2006" and insert "fiscal years 2002 through 2007"; and

(B) in subsection (b), strike "2000" each place it appears and insert "2001".

(10) In section 163—

(A) strike "fiscal year 2000" and insert "fiscal year 2001"; and

(B) strike "fiscal years 2001 through 2006" and insert "fiscal years 2002 through 2007".

(11) In section 212, strike "2000 through 2006" and insert "2001 through 2007".

(12) In section 305—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) strike "fiscal year 2000" and insert "fiscal year 2001"; and

(ii) strike "fiscal years 2001 through 2006" and insert "fiscal years 2002 through 2007"; and

(B) in subsection (b)—

(i) strike "fiscal year 2000" and insert "fiscal year 2001"; and

(ii) strike "fiscal years 2001 and 2002" and insert "fiscal years 2002 and 2003".

The Senate concurrent resolution was concurred in.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. LAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on Senate Concurrent Resolution 133, and to include extraneous material thereon.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

GENERAL LEAVE.

Mr. LAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on House Resolution 616.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

MOTION TO GO TO CONFERENCE ON H.R. 2415, AMERICAN EM-BASSY SECURITY ACT OF 1999

Mr. CHABOT. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on International Relations and pursuant to clause 1 of rule XXII, I offer a motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. CHABOT moves that the House disagree to the amendment of the Senate to the Bill H.R. 2415 and agree to the conference requested by the Senate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Ohio (Mr. CHABOT) is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. CHABOT. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, the purpose is to go to conference on H.R. 2415.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the motion.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRIES

Mr. CONYERS. Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Is it not traditional that at least the other side of the aisle would get half the time, 30 minutes? Is that not traditional here?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time for debate on this motion is 1 hour. It is at the discretion of the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. CHABOT).

Mr. NADLER. Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from New York (Mr. NADLER) will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, do I understand the Chair to be saying that the majority party has decided that the minority has zero time for debate on this bill because it is embarrassed by this bill, or because of some other reason?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Ohio has moved the previous question on the motion.

Mr. NADLER. Continuing parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his inquiry.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, am I to understand from what the gentleman has said and from what the Speaker is saying that the minority is to be denied its customary time to debate this bill; that there is no time to debate this bill at all? Is that what we are to understand?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is not stating a parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. NADLER. Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from New York will state his inquiry.

Mr. NADLER. Under the rules of this House, how much time will the minority get to debate this bill, this motion?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. If there is a motion to instruct the conferees, the hour of debate on that motion is equally divided.

Mr. NADLER. I cannot hear you, sir.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Any motion to instruct conferees to follow will be debatable for one hour, equally divided.

Mr. GEJDENSON. Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. GEJDENSON) will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. GEJDENSON. Mr. Speaker, is the Speaker aware of other precedents where the minority was not given half the time to discuss the motion to go to conference?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman has simply moved the previous question.

Mr. GEJDENSON. Excuse me, again, Mr. Speaker. Is it not the tradition of the House that the minority have an opportunity to discuss the motion, and not be silenced by this parliamentary maneuver?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair cannot be the historian of the House under the guise of a parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. GEKAS. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Ohio (Mr. CHABOT) has moved the previous question.

Mr. GEKAS. Mr. Speaker, may I try to untangle this?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Are there further parliamentary inquiries?

Mr. GEJDENSON. Continuing parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Connecticut will state his parliamentary inquiry.